UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/565,823	01/25/2006	Hiroshi Kaneta	Q92714	9638
23373 7590 07/25/2011 SUGHRUE MION, PLLC			EXAMINER	
2100 PENNSY	LVANIA AVENUE, N	.W.	LI, JUN	
SUITE 800 WASHINGTON, DC 20037			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1732	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			07/25/2011	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of Panel Decision	Application/Control No.	Applicant(s)/Patent under Reexamination
from Pre-Appeal Brief	10/565,823	KANETA, HIROSHI
Review	Jun Li	Art Unit

This is in response to the Pre-Appeal	Brief Request for Review filed 6 July 2	2011.			
1. Improper Request – The Request is improper and a conference will not be held for the following reason(s):					
☐ The request does not incl	not been filed concurrent with the Pre lude reasons why a review is appropria is included with the Pre-Appeal Brief re	ate.			
The time period for filing a response continues to run from the receipt date of the Notice of Appeal or from the mail date of the last Office communication, if no Notice of Appeal has been received.					
2. Proceed to Board of Patent Appeals and Interferences – A Pre-Appeal Brief conference has been held. The application remains under appeal because there is at least one actual issue for appeal. Applicant is required to submit an appeal brief in accordance with 37 CFR 41.37. The time period for filing an appeal brief will be reset to be one month from mailing this decision, or the balance of the two-month time period running from the receipt of the notice of appeal, whichever is greater. Further, the time period for filing of the appeal brief is extendible under 37 CFR 1.136 based upon the mail date of this decision or the receipt date of the notice of appeal, as applicable.					
<ul> <li>☑ The panel has determine Claim(s) allowed:</li> <li>☐ Claim(s) objected to:</li> <li>☐ Claim(s) rejected:</li> <li>☐ Claim(s) withdrawn from control</li> </ul>	ed the status of the claim(s) is as follows.  nsideration:	ws:			
3. Allowable application – A conference has been held. The rejection is withdrawn and a Notice of Allowance will be mailed. Prosecution on the merits remains closed. No further action is required by applicant at this time.					
4. Reopen Prosecution – A conference has been held. The rejection is withdrawn and a new Office action will be mailed. No further action is required by applicant at this time.					
All participants:	•				
(1) Anthony McFarlane.	(3) <u>Jun Li</u> .				
(2) <u>Curtis Mayes</u> .	(4)				
/Anthoiny McFarlane/	/Melvin Curtis Mayes/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 1732	/Jun Li/			